

# TECHNICAL REPORT

Joint Work Session – 03/05/2018



## TEXT AMENDMENTS TO FORM DISTRICT REGULATIONS

The following Technical Report enumerates potential updates to development standards that would better align the Form-Based Regulations of the Blue Hill District with the Blue Hill Design Guidelines and the recently adopted Mobility Plan. Together these updates constitute a proposed Text Amendment to Section 3.11 of the LUMO. The Final Draft of the Design Guidelines is included as a separate attachment.

### BACKGROUND

February 2011	Completion of Ephesus Church Road/Fordham Boulevard Small Area Planning/Traffic Analysis
July 2014	Adoption of Form District Regulations for Ephesus-Fordham District
2016-2017	District traffic and infrastructure improvements
March 2017	Form District Regulations amended based on Walkability and Open Space Standards
May 2017	Initiation of Design Guidelines project
August 2017	Rebranding as the Blue Hill District

### CONNECTIONS TO OTHER DOCUMENTS

Town staff has reviewed the text amendment for compliance with the themes from the [2020 Comprehensive Plan](#)<sup>1</sup>, the standards of the [Land Use Management Ordinance](#)<sup>2</sup>, the [Chapel Hill Public Works Engineering Design Manual](#)<sup>3</sup>, and the [Chapel Hill Mobility and Connectivity Plan](#)<sup>4</sup> and offers the following evaluation:

**Comprehensive Plan Themes:** The following are themes from the 2020 Comprehensive Plan, adopted June 25, 2012:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Create a Place for Everyone	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Develop Good Places, New Spaces
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Support Community Prosperity	<input type="checkbox"/>		Nurture Our Community
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Facilitate Getting Around	<input type="checkbox"/>		Grow Town and Gown Collaboration

Staff believes the Blue Hill Design Guidelines and associated amendments to Form District Regulations comply with the above themes of the 2020 Comprehensive Plan.

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.townofchapelhill.org/home/showdocument?id=15001>

<sup>2</sup> [https://library.municode.com/nc/chapel\\_hill/codes/code\\_of\\_ordinances?nodeId=CO\\_APXALOUSMA](https://library.municode.com/nc/chapel_hill/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=CO_APXALOUSMA)

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.townofchapelhill.org/town-hall/departments-services/public-works/engineering/design-manual-and-standard-details>

<sup>4</sup> <http://www.townofchapelhill.org/residents/transportation/bicycle-and-pedestrian/chapel-hill-mobility-and-connectivity-plan>

# TECHNICAL REPORT

Joint Work Session – 03/05/2018

## SUMMARY AND TABLE OF PROPOSED CHANGES

Based upon the review and feedback received to date, the potential updates to the Form-Based Regulations can be broken down into the following general categories:

- A. Frontage Types:** Broadening the framework of frontage types (which define standards along streets like setback and sidewalk width) to address minor streets and alleys, greenways, and frontages along Booker Creek.
- B. Building Massing:** Refining the dimensional requirements of a building through stepback standards, building module length, and upper-story floor plate size, to ensure these techniques or an equivalent are used to vary building massing.
- C. Building Pass-Throughs:** Creating dynamic requirements for pass-through dimensions (width and height) to remain in proportion to the building (based on building height, depth, façade length).
- D. Variation from Code that Maintains Design Intent:** Consider new opportunities for Design Alternatives, where the Design Guidelines provide guidance on meeting the intent of the Code. Examples include criteria for Phased Development, more flexibility on sizing and location for Outdoor Amenity Space and Forecourts, allowing additional Primary Materials, varying the setback of Structured Parking, and flexibility on Street Tree size and spacing that responds to constraints.
- E. Adding or Expanding Topics based on Design Guidelines Recommendations:** Adding standards to the Code to correspond to new guidance provided by the Design Guidelines. This will assist with implementation of the Design Guidelines. Topics include Building Entrance locations, minimizing the visibility of Drive-thrus and Service Drives, and appropriate Transitions at the District Edge.
- F. More Detail on Review Process, Including CDC Review:** Updating the list of elements that the Community Design Commission reviews when evaluating a project for a Certificate of Appropriateness. Expanding the ability of the CDC to grant Design Alternatives when innovative approaches still meet the intent of the Design Guidelines. Codifying aspects of the review process that have been used in practice, such as assignment of new street types and completion of an Urban Design Assessment.
- G. Miscellaneous Corrections, Clarifications and Minor Changes:** Updating references to the name of the Districts, defining a process for updates to the Design Guidelines, providing a stronger definition of street types and block measurement, and making technical corrections.

For a more detailed explanation of the general categories and the types of proposed updates, see descriptions in the table beginning on the following page.

# TECHNICAL REPORT

Joint Work Session – 03/05/2018

TODAY'S REGULATIONS	PROPOSED	IMPROVEMENT AREA
<p><b><u>A. Frontage Types</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Defined standards for Type A-1, A-2, B and C Frontages</li> <li>• Appropriate for Local, Collector, and Arterial streets</li> <li>• Frontage standards include setbacks, build-to-zone, sidewalk width, streetscape, and parking location</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>A. Frontage Types</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New Frontage Type for properties along Booker Creek, defining setbacks and sidewalk width</li> <li>• New Frontage Type(s) appropriate for District Streets, Alleys, and Non-vehicular thoroughfares, with standards that allow narrower rights-of-way</li> </ul>	<p>Improved applicability of Design Guidelines;</p> <p>Consistency with Mobility Plan;</p> <p>Context-sensitive regulations;</p> <p>Orient buildings towards Booker Creek</p>
<p><b><u>B. Building Mass Requirements</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 10' building Step Back above the 2nd or 3rd floor, for all buildings 4+ stories in height</li> <li>• Exempt if building has a 10' setback</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>B. Building Mass Requirements</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Maximum Upper Story Floor Plate added. 4th floor and above limited to 70% of lower story floor area</li> <li>• Maximum Module Length added as by-right alternative to Step Back requirement. For every 40' of building length, a 6' offset is required.</li> <li>• Design Alternative allowed when applicants have other effective approaches to varied building mass</li> </ul>	<p>Improved applicability of Design Guidelines;</p> <p>Additional tools to ensure varied massing;</p> <p>Avoid buildings that 'loom over' their surroundings</p>
<p><b><u>C. Building Pass-Throughs</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 330' maximum spacing</li> <li>• 12' minimum width</li> <li>• 1 story minimum height</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>C. Building Pass-Throughs</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Width increases for 4+ story buildings and/or longer pass-throughs, as deemed appropriate by CDC</li> <li>• 2 story minimum height for taller buildings and/or longer pass-throughs</li> </ul>	<p>Improved applicability of Design Guidelines;</p> <p>Ensure pass-throughs are inviting and in proportion to building</p>
<p><b><u>D-1. Phased Redevelopment</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Guidance for review and approval not currently provided</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>D-1. Phased Redevelopment</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Criteria added to review and approve incremental redevelopment of a site</li> <li>• Design Alternatives in earlier phases for Frontages and other requirements, when there is a build-out plan showing future compliance</li> </ul>	<p>Improved applicability of Design Guidelines;</p> <p>Plan for incremental improvements to accommodate future development</p>
<p><b><u>D-2. Outdoor Amenity Space, Size and Location</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 20' minimum length and width</li> <li>• Publicly accessible</li> <li>• Located adjacent to adjoining R/W, greenway, public thoroughfare</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>D-2. Outdoor Amenity Space, Size and Location</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Smaller depth allowed in a setback area with a Design Alternative</li> <li>• Width increases for 4+ story buildings, as deemed appropriate by CDC</li> <li>• May be located on rooftops, with a Design Alternative, when still visible and easily accessible</li> </ul>	<p>Improved applicability of Design Guidelines;</p> <p>Activate setback areas for pedestrians;</p> <p>Active rooftop areas for public use</p>

# TECHNICAL REPORT

Joint Work Session – 03/05/2018

TODAY'S REGULATIONS	PROPOSED	IMPROVEMENT AREA
<p><b><u>D-3. Forecourt Sizing</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 35' maximum dimension (width or depth)</li> <li>• Width no more than 1/3 of building face</li> <li>• Allows small open spaces along street</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>D-3. Forecourt Sizing</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Maximum dimension can increase to 50' with a Design Alternative</li> </ul>	<p>Improved applicability of Design Guidelines; Expand techniques to increase pedestrian interest and break up scale of building</p>
<p><b><u>D-4. Primary Materials</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Permitted materials include Brick and tile masonry, Stone (or synthetic equivalent, Wood, Glass curtain wall, Cementitious siding, and Stucco (cementitious finish)</li> <li>• Must constitute at least 75% of each building wall</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>D-4. Primary Materials</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Architectural Metals and Architectural Concrete allowed with a Design Alternative</li> <li>• Should include detailing, small panels, and other visual interest</li> </ul>	<p>Improved applicability of Design Guidelines; Allow more variety in the building material palette</p>
<p><b><u>D-5. Structured Parking Setback</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 30' behind building façade, to encourage wrapped parking</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>D-5. Structured Parking Setback</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduced setback for 1 or 2 levels of parking with a Design Alternative</li> </ul>	<p>Improved applicability of Design Guidelines; Encourage smaller building footprints with uses stacked over parking</p>
<p><b><u>D-6. Street Tree Spacing</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 40' or less average tree spacing</li> <li>• Canopy trees required</li> <li>• Smaller trees can be used when utility conflicts exist, with a Design Alternative</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>D-6. Street Tree Spacing</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expand Design Alternative to allow flexible spacing and sizing of trees in certain circumstances</li> <li>• Equivalent plantings provided behind sidewalk or elsewhere on site</li> </ul>	<p>Improved applicability of Design Guidelines; Acknowledge flexibility needed for utility conflicts, fire access, and sight lines at intersections</p>
<p><b><u>E-1. Building Entrances</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Principal entrances must face street</li> <li>• Maximum spacing of 100' between Principal entrances</li> <li>• No requirement for Secondary entrances to first-floor residences</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>E-1. Building Entrances</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Principal entrances can also face a plaza, open space, or greenway</li> <li>• Entrance can be perpendicular to street if defined by an awning, arcade, etc</li> <li>• Principal entrances required along Booker Creek frontages</li> <li>• Secondary entrance requirement added. 50' maximum spacing for first-floor residences</li> </ul>	<p>Improved applicability of Design Guidelines; Allow more variety of entry treatments; Orient buildings towards Booker Creek; Activate residential streetscapes</p>
<p><b><u>E-2. Drive-Thru Standards</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not permitted at corners for Type A and Type B frontages (mid-block only)</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>E-2. Drive-Thru Standards</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not permitted on Type A frontages</li> </ul>	<p>Reduce the potential presence of drive-thrus</p>

# TECHNICAL REPORT

Joint Work Session – 03/05/2018

TODAY'S REGULATIONS	PROPOSED	IMPROVEMENT AREA
<p><b><u>E-3. Service Drive Location</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No more than 3 vehicular access points for the site as a whole, and 2 per 200' of street frontage</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>E-3. Service Drive Location</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For buildings with multiple street frontages, no more than 1 vehicular access point per Primary Wall frontage</li> <li>No vehicular access on Booker Creek frontages</li> </ul>	<p>Improved applicability of Design Guidelines;</p> <p>Reduce the visual impact of service drives while allowing connections</p>
<p><b><u>E-4. Transition at District Edge</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>10' Residential Protection Buffer required where Blue Hill District directly abuts a residential district</li> <li>Landscaping and Wall required within the Buffer</li> <li>Fences not permitted in the Buffer</li> <li>No provisions for buildings closest to the District edge</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>E-4. Transition at District Edge</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fences are allowed to maintain some transparency</li> <li>When the Buffer includes an outdoor amenity, Landscaping and Fence/Wall requirements may be reduced with a Design Alternative</li> <li>Building step back requirement also applies at District edge – 10' above the 2nd or 3rd floor, for all buildings 4+ stories in height</li> </ul>	<p>Improved applicability of Design Guidelines;</p> <p>Ensure compatibility with adjacent neighborhoods;</p> <p>Encourage connectivity at District edge</p>
<p><b><u>F-1. Application and Administration of District Standards</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evaluation for Cert. of Appropriateness broadly includes Exterior architectural features of buildings, Accessory utility structures, and Stormwater control</li> <li>Design Alternatives generally limited to situations where there is a site constraint</li> <li>Traffic Impact Analysis and Urban Design Assessment not addressed under review process</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>F-1. Application and Administration of District Standards</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Specify that evaluation for Cert. of Appropriateness includes the 'COA Review Elements' listed in the Design Guidelines</li> <li>Design Alternatives expanded to include innovative approaches that still meet the intent of the Design Guidelines</li> <li>Define Traffic Impact Analysis and Urban Design Assessment as part of review process</li> </ul>	<p>Improved applicability of Design Guidelines;</p> <p>Align regulations with practice</p>
<p><b><u>F-2. Street Types and Blocks</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No clear guidance on how to assign an appropriate Street Type to proposed new streets</li> <li>No clear guidance on assigning responsibility for constructing improvements when proposed new street is split by a property line</li> </ul>	<p><b><u>F-2. Street Types and Blocks</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Guidance provided for designating Street Type and associated Frontage, based on proposed development and context of surrounding area</li> <li>For a new street at the property line, applicant to provide at least half the right-of-way and improvements</li> <li>Guidance for interim conditions of new streets at the property line (eg alley that can be upgraded to a full street)</li> </ul>	<p>Consistency with Mobility Plan street types;</p> <p>Clarity of street construction requirements;</p> <p>Align regulations with practice</p>

# TECHNICAL REPORT

Joint Work Session – 03/05/2018

TODAY'S REGULATIONS	PROPOSED	IMPROVEMENT AREA
<p><b>G-1. <u>Name Change</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Form-Based Regulations refer to 'Ephesus/Fordham District'</li> </ul>	<p><b>G-1. <u>Name Change</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Form-Based Regulations refer to 'Blue Hill District' in title and throughout</li> </ul>	Align with decision of property owners
<p><b>G-2. <u>Misc. Clarifications and Corrections</u></b></p>	<p><b>G-2. <u>Misc. Clarifications and Corrections</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Administrative updates of the Design Guidelines</li> <li>Improved definition of street types and block measurement</li> <li>Improved instruction for measurements</li> <li>Various technical corrections</li> </ul>	

## TEXT AMENDMENT FINDINGS OF FACT

All information submitted at the public hearing will be included in the record of the hearing. Based on the comments and documentation submitted, the Council will consider whether it can make one or more of three required findings (listed below A-C) for enactment of the Land Use Management Ordinance Text Amendment.

In order to establish and maintain sound, stable, and desirable development within the planning jurisdiction of the Town, it is intended that the Land Use Management Ordinance shall not be amended except:

- A.** To correct a manifest error in the chapter; or
- B.** Because of changed or changing conditions in a particular area or in the jurisdiction generally; or
- C.** To achieve the purposes of the Comprehensive Plan.

The following Argument in Support will be entered in the record for Finding C, To achieve the purposes of the Comprehensive Plan:

Relevant goals and objectives in the Chapel Hill 2020 Comprehensive Plan include, but are not limited to:

- Family-friendly, accessible exterior and interior places throughout the town for a variety of active uses (Goal *A Place for Everyone*.1)
- A welcoming and friendly community that provides all people with access to opportunities (Goal *A Place for Everyone*.4)
- Balance and sustain finances by increasing revenues and decreasing expenses (Goal *Community Prosperity and Engagement*.1)
- Promote a safe, vibrant, and connected (physical and person) community (Goal *Community Prosperity and Engagement*.3)
- A well-conceived and planned, carefully thought-out, integrated, and balanced transportation system that recognizes the importance of automobiles, but encourages and facilitates the growth and use of other means of transportation such as bicycle, pedestrian, and other public transportation options (Goal *Getting Around*.1)
- A connected community that links neighborhoods, businesses, and schools through the provision of greenways, sidewalks, bike facilities, and public transportation (Goal *Getting Around*.2)
- Incorporate street planning into zoning code (Goal *Getting Around*.7)
- A development decision-making process that provides clarity and consistency with the goals of the Chapel Hill 2020 comprehensive plan (Goal *Good Places New Spaces*.3)
- Future land use, form, and density that strengthen the community, social equity, economic prosperity, and natural environment (Goal *Good Places New Spaces*.8)